Pacuvius, qui Syriam usu suam fecit, cum vino et illis funebribus epulis sibi parentaverat, sic in cubiculum ferebatur a cena, ut inter plausus exoletorum hoc ad symphoniam caneretur:  $\beta \epsilon \beta i \omega \tau a \iota$ ,  $\beta \epsilon \beta i \omega \tau a \iota$  nullo non se die extulit. (Epp. 12.8)

Tacitus registers him as legate of the Syrian legion VI Ferrata in A.D. 19 (Ann. 2.79.2). He probably governed the province de facto for long years in the absence of the official legate Aelius Lamia (Ann. 6.17.2). At all events, he was likely to have been an ex-praetor if still alive in January 41, and was a likely enough person to have had the exchange with Cluvius.

Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf

A. R. BIRLEY birley@phil-fak.uni-duesseldorf.de

<sup>17</sup> R. Syme, ZPE 41 (1981), 130 = Roman Papers 3 (1984), 1380;  $PIR^2 P 46$ .

## SIX NOTES ON THE TEXT OF SENECA, NATURALES QUAESTIONES

The most recent and by far the best edition of this work is that of H. M. Hine (Stuttgart/Leipzig, 1996), to which I refer for full bibliographical information. Many passages of the text are most helpfully discussed in the same scholar's *Studies in the Text of Seneca's* Naturales Quaestiones (Stuttgart/Leipzig, 1996).

ut nubes infici possint, . . . sol ad hoc apte ponendus est; non enim idem facit undecumque effulsit, et ad hoc opus est radiorum idoneus *ictus*. (1.5.9)

Seneca is dealing with rainbows. Hine (Studies, 24-5) shares Axelson's suspicion of ictus, but is unhappy both with Axelson's situs ('seems too static a word for the sun's rays') and with my tractus, 'direction' ('in this sense seems to be used only of concrete physical objects'); very tentatively he suggests angulus. Much more credible palaeographically and still yielding good sense and a good clausula would be i < mp > etus, a noun which is very common in this work of Seneca's.

Hostius fuit Quadra obscenitatis in scaenam usque perductae. (1.16.1)

Hine (Studies, 31-2) defends scaenam, in my view unsuccessfully; I am not convinced by 'obscenity carried right to the point of publicity', that is, brought right into the open rather than kept private and little known. In my view scaenam is due to perseveration from obscenitatis and has displaced another noun, probably one which bore some resemblance to it. I suggest summam; for in (or ad) summam (or summum) perducere TLL 10.1.1287.63ff. adduces Cicero, Brut. 161 iam ad summum paene esse perductam (sc. artem dicendi); id., Leg. 1.25 perfecta et ad summum perducta natura; Seneca, Epp. 90.46 (animo) edocto et ad summum assidua exercitatione perducto; Quintilian, Inst. 12.11.28 perductae in summum artes; ibid. 10.7.18 (facilitatem) a paruis initiis paulatim perducemus ad summam; add Seneca, Dial. 2.5.4 (uirtus) in summum perducta. 'Obscenity carried right to extremes' is an apt headline to introduce the account of the outrageous sexual shenanigans that occupies the rest of the chapter.

[t]alia uarie †fortitudine† disponit: mors omnes aeque uocat, iratis dis propitiisque moriendum est. (2.59.4)

uarie Pincianus: uaria codd.

The first letter of talia is a dittography of the last letter of the preceding word euincit (exactly the same mistake has occurred at 4a.pr.10 after potest); alia, contrasting with the following mors, is certain. Equally certain, I think, is the adverb uarie. The only doubtful point in the sentence is the noun which is the subject of disponit; both fors and fortuna, with or without additions, have been proposed, but the best conjecture, I think, is one that is not recorded by Hine, Fors Fortuna. This was proposed by C. Brakman in Mnem 56 (1928), 147 (although he retained uaria); it derives support from Dial. 10.7.9 de cetero Fors Fortuna ut uolet ordinet: uita iam in tuto est, 'let Fortune arrange the future as she pleases: one's past life is safely out of her control'.

aut stant omnes aquae aut eunt; aut colliguntur aut *uarias* habent uenas. (3.3 [= 3.2.1])

'Varias habent uenas seems a strange expression; perhaps the adjective should be natiuas or suas . . ., picked up below by ex suo fonte natiua est.' So M. Winterbottom, Script. Class. Israel. 17 (1998), 243. I think that a more satisfactory adjective, both palaeographically and in point of sense, would be uiuas in OLD sense 6, 'constantly or freshly flowing (from a spring)'; cf. uiuis fontibus at Ovid, Met. 3.27 and Fast. 2.250; this would be equally appropriately picked up by ex suo fonte natiua est. At 3.7.3 below the same contrast is thus expressed: illic non caelestem esse nec collecticium umorem sed, quod dici solet, uiuam aquam.

sed quemadmodum campos intuentem quae paulatim deuexa sunt fallunt, sic cum \* \* \* non intellegimus curuaturas maris et uidetur planum quidquid apparet. (3.28.5)

It is usual just to delete *cum*, but I think that a small lacuna is more probable. What we miss is a verb that will correspond to *intuentem* in the first limb of the comparison; *prospicimus* (a verb that occurs above at 27.11) would do very well.

Ephorus . . . saepe decipitur, saepius decipit; sicut *hunc* cometen, qui omnium mortalium oculis custoditus est quia ingentis rei traxit euentum cum Helicen et Buram ortu suo merserit, ait *illum* discessisse in duas stellas: quod praeter illum (sc. Ephorum) nemo tradidit. (7.16.2)

If illum (after ait) is sound, it is a repetition of the preceding hunc. This has aroused suspicion (Kroll's unattractive conjecture ilico has been adopted by both Gercke and Oltramare), but has been defended by Axelson and accepted by Hine. Axelson produces (from Leumann and Hofmann 477 = Hofmann and Szantyr 185) one example (Plautus, Trin. 326) of ille picking up a preceding hic; the normal demonstrative that performs this function is is. After (for good reasons) rejecting ilico, Axelson says: 'mit der Möglichkeit irgend einer anderen Verbesserung ist aber schwerlich zu rechnen—welches passende Wort könnte hier eintreten?' I suggest <f>issum, the first letter of which may have been lost after the last letter of ait (and anticipation of the following illum may have played a part in the corruption). I adduce 6.25.2 fissam unius magnitudinem montis in duas partes.

Aberdeen W. S. WATT